

1 JOHN A. RUSSO, City Attorney - State Bar #129729
2 RANDOLPH W. HALL, Chief Assistant City Attorney - State Bar #080142
3 RACHEL WAGNER, Supervising Trial Attorney - State Bar #127246
4 CHRISTOPHER KEE, Deputy City Attorney – State Bar #157758
One Frank H. Ogawa Plaza, 6th Floor
4 Oakland, California 94612
5 Telephone: (510) 637-0268 Fax: (510) 238-6500
22115/338094

6 Attorneys for Defendants
CITY OF OAKLAND, et al.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CYNTHIA M. MATHIS.

Plaintiff,

48

14 CITY OF OAKLAND, et al.,

Defendants

Case No. C-02-02007 MEJ

**DEFENDANTS' BILL OF COSTS;
CERTIFICATE OF COUNSEL**

[FRCP 54(D), Civil Local Rule 54-1(a)]

16 Defendants and prevailing parties CITY OF OAKLAND, OAKLAND POLICE
17
18 DEPARTMENT, TORREY NASH, R. GUTIERREZ, C. INGEBRIGTSEN, M. SIVILA, P.
19 DAVIS, M. YOELL, G. FOPPIANO, hereby submit the defendants' Bill of Costs in this
20 matter, pursuant to Rule 54(d)(1) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and to Civil Local
Rule 54-1(a), as follows:

A. Depositions

Pizzotti & Jarnigan (Cynthia Mathis) \$ 634.40

Subtotal: \$ 634.40

25 B. Reproductions

26 || Discovery Production (Legal Beagle) \$ 44.50

1		
2	Discovery Production (Legal Beagle)	61.12
3	Discovery Production (Legal Beagle)	46.52
4	Discovery Production (Legal Beagle)	79.36
5	Discovery Production (Legal Beagle)	92.62
6	Discovery Production (Legal Beagle)	59.87
7	Discovery Production (Legal Beagle)	13.80
8	Discovery Production (Legal Beagle)	60.95
9	Discovery Production (Legal Beagle)	94.24
10	Discovery Production (Legal Beagle)	49.87
11	Copy of Mathis v. Wanzo Trial Transcript	659.00
12		
13	Subtotal:	\$ 1261.65
14		
15	TOTAL COSTS	\$ 1896.05

16 Dated: February 25, 2005

17 Respectfully submitted,

18 JOHN A. RUSSO, City Attorney
 19 RANDOLPH W. HALL, Assistant City Attorney
 20 STEPHEN Q. ROWELL, Deputy City Attorney

21 By: _____ /s/
 22 Attorneys for Defendants
 23 CITY OF OAKLAND, et al.

**CERTIFICATE OF COUNSEL
[28 USC Section 1924]**

The undersigned hereby certifies, under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States and of the State of California, that the costs claimed above:

5 (a) are allowed by law,
6 (b) are correctly stated,
7 (c) were necessarily incurred in the case,
8 (d) the services for which fees were charged were actually and necessarily performed,
9 and

11 Executed this 25th day of February 2005, at Oakland, California.

STEPHEN Q. ROWELL